ABSTRACT: Fission track (FT) analyses on unannealed detrital minerals provide a powerful tool both for refining provenance models derived from traditional methods and for collecting information about erosion rates of the source area. Their power is increased if they are coupled with the study of zircon morphology. This combination of methods is applied to the Chattian–Aquitanian (25–23 Ma) Macigno turbidite complex. Basin-fill patterns and petrographical studies consistently identify the uplifting western Central Alps as the main source region for the Macigno Formation.

Most zircon grains fall into a young age cluster (~40–30 Ma), derived from a rapidly exhuming crystalline source region with a high cooling rate. Within this cluster, two age subgroups can be distinguished at 30 and 40 Ma. In the younger subgroup, the zircon morphology supports the presence of two main populations: (1) from igneous rocks (S-type euhedral zircons), which appear to be partly derived from airborne tuffs; and (2) from metasedimentary units. In huge volumes of these metamorphic rocks, mica Ar–Ar and zircon fission-track thermochronometers have been reset, because of high geothermal gradients in the vicinity of the Periadriatic intrusives in mid-Oligocene times. At the present surface of the Alps, zircon FT ages around and slightly less than 30 Ma are reported in the Sesia-Lanzo zone, the Gran Paradiso Massif, the Upper Pennine nappes, the Monte Rosa Massif, and the Dent Blanche complex. The older subgroup of the Tertiary zircons (40 Ma) may have been supplied by metamorphic and migmatitic rocks affected by an Eocene high-temperature phase.

A Late Cretaceous age cluster (~70–60 Ma) is related to cooling after the main Austroalpine metamorphic event at 110–100 Ma. Most of the recently exposed Austroalpine nappe complex displays mica cooling ages and zircon FT ages between 95–70 Ma and 99–55 Ma, respectively.

Finally, an ill-defined Jurassic age cluster, with a mean in Late Jurassic times, is related to rift-shoulder heating of the Austroalpine/South-Alpine crystalline basement due to rifting of the Pennine oceanic domain. Presently, the Silvretta nappe complex, situated at the western termination of the Austroalpine realm, and the South-Alpine basement west of the Canavese Line, display similar zircon FT ages. Therefore, a westward continuation of the Silvretta complex prior to deep Neogene erosion is suggested.

INTRODUCTION

Clastic depositional systems preserve the paleogeological evolution of ancient sediment sources. Knowledge of source-basin systems around an orogen allows paleogeological maps to be constructed which, unlike palaeogeographical maps, document the geology of emergent lands as well as their inferred location.

Although provenance analyses can distinguish different types of eroded rocks from ancient sources and are a classical tool for studying clastic depositional systems, most fail to reach their full potential of recognizing actual geological units. Combined with the inferred extent of specific geological units eroded, knowing the volume of rocks transferred from emerged sources to basins theoretically allows the erosion and uplift rates of the source terrain to be calculated (e.g., Di Giulio 1999).

Fission-track (FT) analysis on unannealed clastic minerals is a powerful tool for refining the provenance picture derived from traditional approaches (e.g., sandstone petrology, basin-fill patterns) because they can identify specific geological units that provided sediment (Hurford et al. 1984; Carter 1999). The power of FT analyses is increased when combined with provenance studies based on mineral morphology.

This paper uses an integrated approach on the Macigno turbidites, a foreland-basin sequence deposited in the Northern Apennines thrust and fold belt during late Chattian to early Aquitanian times (25–23 Ma). Information from FT analyses and zircon morphology is combined with available data on quantitative sandstone petrology and basin-fill patterns in order to better distinguish the sources of these thick clastic sediments, funneled by turbidity currents into a deep marine foreland-basin system.

GEological SETTING

The Northern Apennines are basically composed of two tectonic complexes: (1) the remnants of a Cretaceous–Paleogene accretionary wedge (Ligurian Complex) generated by Africa–Europe convergence. This unit is thrust on top of (2) an Oligocene–Miocene complex consisting mainly of clastic units (Ricci Lucchi 1986) that were accreted in a retreating subduction zone and overrode the Adriatic continental margin (e.g., Castellarin 1992). These turbidite clastic units comprise the Macigno and Modino successions of late Chattian to early Aquitanian age (25–23 Ma), the Monte Cervarola Formation of late Aquitanian to early Langhian age (21–16 Ma), and the Marnoso-arenacea Formation of Langhian to Tortonian age (14–9 Ma).

Three samples from the Macigno Formation have been analyzed. They were collected from the Val Gordana section, a classical 2-km-thick exposure of the Macigno Formation. Previous studies summarize the sedimentary facies (Ghibaudo 1980), biostratigraphy, sandstone petrology (Costa et al. 1992; Costa et al. 1996), heavy-mineral association (Valloni et al. 1991), illite crystallinity (Bonazzi et al. 1984), and vitrinite reflectance (Reutter et al. 1980, 1983) of the Macigno Formation.

Vitrinite reflectance values (between 1.52 and 2.13 %Rm; Reutter et al. 1980, 1983) imply a thermal overprint indicating postdepositional total annealing of tracks in apatite (>110 °C). Consequently, the apatite grains have lost their predepositional FT ages and cannot be used as provenance indicators, although the ages do reflect cooling from a post-Oligocene thermal/burial event. Because this burial temperature was insufficient to anneal the fission tracks in zircon crystals, the inherited ages mirror the thermal history of the source regions.

Macigno Provenance from Sandstone Petrology and Basin-Fill Pattern

Basin-fill patterns (Abbate and Bruni 1987) and petrographical studies (Di Giulio 1999) together consistently identify the Western and Central Alps, uplifting at some 100 km distance from the northern edge of the Apennine foreland basin, as the main source zone for the Macigno Formation. Consequently the Macigno Trough is a foreland basin that was not
Fed transversely by the orogenic wedge that generated the basin, but instead fed longitudinally by a neighboring orogenic source. The petrology of the clastic sediments provides a record of the changing geology of the Western and Central Alps during Late Oligocene–Early Miocene uplift, and the geology of the source area, the Western and Central Alps, provides key insights to understanding the provenance of clastics funneled into the Macigno Trough.

As a result of the paleocurrent directions, the basin-fill pattern records a consistent provenance of the turbidite currents from the northwest (Fig. 1). Detrital modes of the Macigno Formation record remarkably homogeneous framework compositions at the basin scale, with only a slight upward decrease in volcaniclastic content (Fig. 2). Sandstone framework mineralogy indicates predominantly crystalline source rocks with a small and decreasing contribution from an andesitic source (Fig. 3). According to Di Giulio (1999), this source was a rapidly uplifting lithospheric block roughly corresponding to that presently imaged both by the strong gravity anomaly recorded in the Ivrea area and by wide-angle reflection seismic data (Ogniben 1973; Nicolas et al. 1990).

One objective of this study was to test this interpretation using single-grain FT chronology and the typology of zircon crystals.

**RESULTS**

**Samples**

The samples analyzed were collected in the Pontremoli area, north of La Spezia (Fig. 1). Despite the remarkably homogeneous petrographical composition along the belt (Di Giulio 1999), this locality was selected because it is the closest to the inferred Alpine sources, thus minimizing the potential of mixing detritus delivered from the main Alpine source with that supplied from minor, possibly transverse sources. The three samples were collected from thick and massive coarse-grained sandstone turbidite beds in the lower, middle, and uppermost part of the 2-km-thick Macigno succession (Appendix I).

**Characteristics of the Dateable Minerals**

Most apatite grains are rounded but transparent, colorless, and slightly corroded. Almost completely euhedral grains occur in each sample. These
could be derived from a granitoid source with a very short transport distance, but are probably an airborne volcanic contribution because glass inclusions are common (Fig. 4), and apatites of plutonic rocks typically contain tiny zircon inclusions rather than rounded glass inclusions.

The zircon crystals are usually colorless, although a few are light brown to pinkish. Around 30% of the crystals are euhedral but most are subhedral and slightly rounded without sharp edges, sometimes with well-preserved crystal faces. Less than 10% of the crystals are well rounded.

### Fission-Track Chronology

The methodology is described in Appendix II; results are listed in Table 1. All apatite ages passed the chi-square test ($P(\chi^2) < 5\%$; Galbraith 1981) showing the homogeneity of the ages of the grain population. The apatite ages are in the range of apatite FT results published by Abbate et al. (1994) and Balestrieri et al. (1996). The apparent FT ages of 7 to 8.2 Ma are much younger than the $\sim 24$ Ma age of sedimentation, confirming the total resetting that was expected according to the organic maturation. The distribution of the confined track lengths is rather narrow, and the unshortened tracks have a dominant role (Fig. 5). This indicates a short residence in the zone of partial annealing (Wagner 1972). Thus, apatite FT results reflect the late stage of the exhumation of the Macigno Formation in the La Spezia profile and cannot be used for provenance analysis.

Zircon ages fail the chi-square test ($P(\chi^2) > 5\%$, Table 1); the central ages are actually meaningless, reflecting only mixing of grains of different ages. The age spectra are basically similar for all three samples. The majority of single-grain ages form a cluster in Tertiary times at around 40–30 Ma (Fig. 6). Beyond this very pronounced young peak, one or two diffuse, older populations are detectable. Sample ADG-1, from the base of the Macigno, contains slightly older ages than the two other samples. The oldest grains have FT ages close to 300 Ma, but in this age range dating is normally slightly biased by high spontaneous track density and because portions of grains become undateable because of the progressing metamictization (Gleadow 1978). Consequently, less accurate data are derived from ill-defined older groups with few grains than from tighter, younger age clusters.

Although nearly 2 km of sediment separates the lowermost and topmost samples, we amalgamated the single-grain data from the three samples to achieve better definition of the age clusters. The age spectra are similar, and the sandstone petrology data show that the source area did not change fundamentally during the relatively short sedimentation period ($\sim 1.5$ Ma, etc.).

### Table 1.—Fission-track results from the Macigno Formation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Cryst.</th>
<th>$\varphi$ (Ns)</th>
<th>$\mu$ (Ni)</th>
<th>$\phi$ (Nd)</th>
<th>$P(\chi^2)$</th>
<th>FT age [Ma ± 1σ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zircon ages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG-1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>107 (5560)</td>
<td>57 (2977)</td>
<td>4.85 (4899)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>52.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG-12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>77 (4385)</td>
<td>56 (3027)</td>
<td>4.85 (4899)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>42.5 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG-16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>74 (4385)</td>
<td>57 (3374)</td>
<td>4.85 (4899)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>40.4 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apatite ages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG-1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.20 (209)</td>
<td>16.2 (2817)</td>
<td>5.08 (6232)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG-12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.21 (173)</td>
<td>15.0 (2119)</td>
<td>5.08 (6232)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG-16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.21 (218)</td>
<td>13.9 (2508)</td>
<td>5.08 (6232)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cryst. = number of dated apatite crystals

Track densities ($\varphi$) are as measured ($\times 10^3$ tr/cm$^2$); number of tracks counted (N) shown in brackets. Central ages calculated for the zircon samples using dosimeter glass: CN 2 with $E_{CN2} = 127.8 ± 1.6$. Proxied ages calculated for the apatite samples using dosimeter glass: CN 5 with $E_{CN5} = 333.3 ± 7.1$. $P(\chi^2)$ probability obtaining Chi-square value for $v$ degree of freedom (where $v$ = no. crystals − 1).
see Appendix I and Costa et al. 1992). The dated crystals fall into two aliquots: (1) a group of 94 subhedral and rounded crystals; and (2) a group of 86 euhedral crystals. The chi-square test was used to select the youngest crystal populations of both aliquots (according to Brandon 1992). The crystals are ordered according to increasing age, and the pooled age and the statistical values calculated from the first 1, 2, . . . n data were tested. The first n crystals passing the test were considered as the youngest coherent population. This group was extracted and the rest were tested again. In this way we were able to select two age populations and the rest—the oldest group—also passed the chi-square test. The results are presented in Figure 7 and Table 2. The groups are rather similar in the euhedral and in the rounded mineral populations.

The above-described method is a rather crude approach. This technique artificially cuts the single-grain age distribution, and the overlapping parts of the tails cannot be considered. To control the reliability of this kind of subdivision, we used a basically different method which performs the binomial fitting of the subpopulations according to Galbraith and Green (1990). The results of the ‘‘Binomfit’’ process of Mark Brandon are in good agreement with the subdivision made according to chi-square age groups (Table 2). Besides the very pronounced Oligocene age cluster, a smaller Late Cretaceous and a Jurassic cluster can be detected.

**Zircon Morphology**

The typological analyses of the zircon crystals (according to Pupin 1980) show a broad distribution with some clusters (Fig. 8A, B). The clusters formed in the upper part of the ‘‘S’’-fields are typical for calc-alkaline rocks, while the ‘‘P’’-crystals occur rather in alkaline magmas (Pupin 1980). The Eocene–Oligocene Periadriatic magmatic suite contains mainly ‘‘S’’-type crystals (especially the S7–S12 fields); the ‘‘P’’-type crystals are
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FIG. 9.—Age histogram of the “S”-type and “P”-type crystals. Both types occur in the Tertiary and also in the Mesozoic age group.

FIG. 10.—The younger part of the amalgamated age spectrum; 0.5 standard deviation was used for the calculation. In this way the distribution is sharper and the Eocene and Oligocene subclusters are separated better.

missing or they have a very subordinate role (Pupin 1988; Dunkl 1990, 1992; Elias 1998; R. Pagliuca, Pavia-Tübingen, personal communication). In contrast, the Variscan basement (and also the Variscan crystalline fragments of the Austroalpine pile) contains igneous rocks of various compositions (Pupin 1985), and both the “S” and the “P”-type zircons are present in the detrital material deriving from such an area. Figure 8C presents two examples from the westernmost occurrences of the Austroalpine nappe pile: the typological distribution of a river sand derived exclusively from Austroalpine basement rocks, and a typogram of a gneiss from the Silvretta Alps. The first one has a broad distribution (in harmony with the composite character), whereas the second has a typical alkaline signature, but both contain “P”-type crystals.

The relationship between the age clusters and the morphology of the dated grains was also tested. Because the etched and dated crystals are embedded in teflon so precise determination of the morphotype is not possible, we differentiated only two morphotype groups: “S”-type and “P”-type crystals as discussed above. Figure 9 shows that there is no separation in age according to the crystal morphology. The “P”-type and “S”-type zircons occur in both the Tertiary and the Mesozoic age groups.

DISCUSSION

In order to interpret better the zircon age clusters defined above, it is necessary to consider further the zircon morphology, sediment petrology, and the known zircon FT ages of possible source areas.
1. Paleoene Age Cluster (~ 40–30 Ma)

The younger, Tertiary age clusters of all three samples consist of two subpopulations. The amalgamated sample shows these subgroups well (see Fig. 10). The older is of Eocene age (mean ca. 39 Ma); the younger is Oligocene (calculated mean of ca. 28 Ma) if the splits are made at 33 and 48 Ma. Exact separation is not possible because of the closeness of the means and the overlapping of their tails.

1.1. Periadriatic Magmatic Contribution.—Sandstone petrology indicates a minor volcanic contribution to the Macigno Formation (Di Giulio 1999). The high proportion of euhedral, clear, and uniform zircons indicates an I-type magmatic origin. Some similarly shaped but glass-inclusion-bearing zircons are clearly derived from a volcanic equivalent. The intrusive or extrusive character of source rocks cannot be definitively determined solely on the basis of crystal morphotypes, but the sharp grain edges suggest aerial transport of at least some crystals.

The younger age group clusters around 30 Ma, corresponding to the mean of the very pronounced, tight cluster of the Periadriatic magmatism (Borsi et al. 1973; Prochaska 1981; Deutsch 1984). The main part of the Oligocene igneous bodies underwent rather rapid exhumation, as suggested by cooling ages (zircon FT: 28±25 Ma) and the appearance of the tonalite boulders in South Alpine Oligocene sediments (Gieger and Hurford 1989). The data indicate that the Macigno Trough was also supplied from this actively exhuming belt.

South of the Periadriatic volcanic belt s.s., in the southern part of the Po Basin, boreholes penetrate Oligocene stratovolcanic complexes of dacitic and andesitic composition (Fantoni et al. 1999). These edifices and related volcaniclastic strata record the Periadriatic magmatic activity. The Ar–Ar age of 28.5 ± 0.1 Ma measured on the topmost volcanic horizon indicates good agreement with the youngest zircon FT age cluster (Fig. 10). The first sediments onlapping the Mortara-Lacchiarella volcanoes are Langhian in age (~ 16.4–14.7 Ma). Thus the volcanic complex probably emerged during deposition of the Macigno Formation, potentially supplying volcaniclastic material and euhedral zircons. The zircon typology of these volcanic rocks resembles the S7–S12 cluster of the zircons in the Macigno sediments (R. Pagliuca, Pavia-Tübingen, personal communication). There are also volcanicogenic layers of peralkaline character at the Alpine–Apennine junction (Cappodi et al. 1999) with similar Ar–Ar ages (28.4–29.6 Ma; d’Atri et al. 1999), but volcanism there produced quite different zircon subtypes (J and/or S22–25; P. Cappodi, Torino, personal communication). This indicates contemporaneous but geochemically distinct volcanism, which provided zircons of contrasting morphologies. However, the contribution of detritus from peralkaline volcanic sources was subordinate in the Macigno Basin.

1.2. The Eocene Age Cluster.—The older subgroup of the Tertiary zircons (Fig. 10) might also be derived from igneous rocks. Villa (1983) and Dunkl (1990) reported Eocene intrusive and tuffitic formations along the Periadriatic magmatic chain. An unannealed apatite FT age of 39 ± 4 Ma is indicated in euhedral crystals of probable volcanic origin in the Eocene Galläre Marls (Lacchiarella subsurface near Milan; Fantoni et al. 1999). Nevertheless, meta-igneous rocks of the Central and Western Alps were possibly also affected by the Eocene high-temperature metamorphic phase (Rubatto et al. 1997) and provided this material to the Macigno Basin.

1.3. Non-Periadriatic (Meta-)Igneous Rocks.—For the P-type crystals with Tertiary ages (Fig. 9), the relationship to known Oligocene magmatic counterparts is not as obvious as for the S-type crystals. This kind of crystal is rather typical of alkaline granite. We infer a simultaneously eroding basement with Variscan magmatites, which were partly thermally affected by the Periadriatic magmatic event and had reached the surface by ~ 25 Ma.

1.4. Metasedimentary Source Rocks.—A significant portion of the rounded and subhedral zircon grains have Tertiary ages. The splitting of the Paleogene grains into an Eocene and an Oligocene age cluster is not as evident for rounded grains as for euhedral grains (Fig. 10). Rounded zircons are derived from metasedimentary units, not from volcanic and plutonic rocks. These grains prove that the source region of the Macigno sediment contained not only igneous but also rapidly exhuming crustal domains in Oligocene times. The source region was characterized by metamorphic rocks from the zone around the Periadriatic intrusives, where extremely high geothermal gradients (von Blanckenburg and Davies 1995) reset both the mica Ar–Ar and the zircon FT thermochronometers over huge volumes of crustal material in Middle Oligocene times. The modern surface of the Western Alps contains zones where the zircon FT ages are around and slightly younger than 30 Ma: (a) the Sesia-Lanzo zone and the Gran Paradiso Massif (Hurford et al. 1989); (b) the Upper Pennine nappes (Seward and Mancktelow 1994); (c) the Monte Rosa massif; and (d) the Dent Blanche nappe system (Hurford et al. 1991; Fig. 11). These areas together with the former cover of these blocks are the most probable sources of the siliciclastic material of the Macigno Formation carrying zircons of Paleogene FT ages. The means of these Tertiary age clusters from the Macigno sandstone preceded the sedimentation by only 4–10 Ma, using an average 24 Ma depositional age (cf. Table 2 and Fig. 10).

The lid of the Lepontine dome might also have been a potential source. Deep erosion since the Oligocene (in the range of 2 km according to Kuhlemann 2000) completely removed the volume of Paleogene FT ages until recent times. Thus, the central part of the Lepontine dome now shows only Middle or even Late Miocene zircon FT ages (Hunziker et al. 1992). However, by the time of the Macigno deposition, the bottom of the Austroalpine pile, or the top of the Penninic units, supplied older zircons.

2. Late Cretaceous Age Cluster (~ 70–60 Ma)

Some of these ages fall into the Cenozoic Era, but we refer to this age cluster as Late Cretaceous, because these cooling ages have a Late Cretaceous mean and reflect a cooling period that follow the Eo-Alpine (Cretaceous) metamorphic event. This affected the Austroalpine realm, with a climax around 110–100 Ma. Mica cooling ages form an age cluster around 95–70 Ma (see compilations of Frank et al. 1987 and Elias 1998). Zircon FT ages range between 99 and 55 Ma in the central and eastern part of the Austroalpine nappe complex (Elias 1998; Figenschuh et al. 1997; Frisch et al. 1999; Dunkl, unpublished data). The Dent Blanche nappe is an exception, because the zircon FT ages in the recently exposed level show complete Oligocene reset (Fig. 11). At the sedimentation time of the Macigno Formation, however the Austroalpine plateau extended much farther to the west than today (Pfiffner 1986; Frisch et al. 2000), and the higher levels of the preserved western remnants of the Austroalpine nappe complex probably supplied zircon grains with Mesozoic FT ages, as also observed in the Swiss molasse foreland basin (Spiegel et al. 1999).

3. Jurassic Age Cluster

This is an ill-defined cluster of single-grain ages with a mean falling into the Late Jurassic (Fig. 7; Table 2). They can be related to the thermal effect of Jurassic rifting in the course of opening of the Pennine ocean (Frisch 1979). The Austroalpine crystalline basement formed a passive continental margin at that time and underwent rift–shoulder heating. The Silvertetta nappe contains similar zircon FT ages (180–160 Ma; see Flisch 1986). The Silvertetta nappe complex is now situated at the western termination of the coherent Austroalpine realm (Fig. 11), but we believe it continued westward before the episode of deep Neogene erosion (Frisch et al. 2000). This broader extent is also suggested by gneiss pebbles of the South German–Upper Austrian Molasse with Late Jurassic zircon FT ages (Spiegel et al. 1999; Brügge 1998).

The recently exhumed basement of the Southern Alps at some distance from the Periadriatic plutons also shows Mesozoic zircon FT ages (Bertotti et al. 1999; Vance 1999). To derive siliciclastic material from this basement
during the deposition of the Macigno Formation is unlikely, because deep erosion of the Southern Alps did not occur until the Miocene collision and back-thrusting (Gunzenhauser 1985; Schönborn 1992). No major areas of the crystalline basement were exposed during late Oligocene times, if at all.

4. Combination of FT Chronology and Zircon Typology

The source units of the Macigno Formation can be identified according to the data presented above. For this purpose we have integrated:

(i) the zircon single-grain FT ages of the Macigno Formation and the age clusters isolated from these distributions,
(ii) the known (published) age pattern of the possible source area,
(iii) the zircon typology of the dated sediment and,
(iv) the composition and characteristic zircon typology of the main units in the possible source area.

Figure 12 presents the integrated data; to the left there are the observations forming a ‘source-identification matrix,’ and the deduced source units are named on the right.

CONCLUSIONS

Integrating sandstone petrology data with single-grain fission tracks results, and analysis of the morphology of detrital apatite and zircon crystals of the Macigno Formation, allows the provenance, paleogeology, and paleogeography of the Northern Apennines foreland basin during late Chattian to early Aquitanian times (25 to 23 Ma) to be better understood.

(1) Euhedral and slightly rounded apatite crystals with glass inclusions support airborne volcanogenic contributions and/or very short transport distances (from a nearly coeval volcanic sequence).

(2) Zircon fission track chronology and zircon morphology suggest that some of the zircon crystals were derived from the Periadriatic intrusives and their volcanic products, i.e., either completely eroded volcanic edifices of the Alps or the Mortara-Lacchiarella volcanoes now in the subsurface of the Po River basin.

(3) Most non volcanogenic zircon grains have Oligocene cooling ages, reflecting an actively exhuming crystalline source region with high cooling rates. This agrees nicely with the sandstone petrology.

(4) Fission track data and the low degree of maturity of the siliciclastic material indicate that the source region was a rapidly exhuming region of the Western Alps formed by upper Penninic–Lower Austroalpine units.

(5) The presence of a typical zircon FT age cluster composed of 70–60 Ma grains proves that the structurally higher parts of the Austroalpine nappes were also present in the catchment area. Moreover, Jurassic zircon FT ages indicate the presence of a specific Silvretta-type Austroalpine basement in the source area, without Eo-Alpine metamorphism and with only a mild thermal resetting in Jurassic times. The decreasing contribution of the latter source towards the top of the Macigno sedimentary section records its progressive erosion during rapid uplift of the orogenic lid.

These conclusions provide another step toward the unraveling of a paleogeological map of the catchment area supplying the Macigno Formation. It also stresses the necessity of integrating different analytical approaches in order to achieve reliable provenance pictures. In this respect, integrated fission track and typological analyses of clastic zircon crystals provide a particularly useful tool for geodynamic settings with multiple and rapidly changing clastic sources, such as collisional belts.
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APPENDIX I—SAMPLES

Localities and Stratigraphic Ages

All the studied samples were collected along the road from Pontremoli to Noce, along the left side of the Gordana Valley. Altitude, biostratigraphical ages, and related chronostratigraphical ages (after Costa et al. 1992) are as follows.

Sample ADGI between S. ciperoensis LO and R. bisecta LCO; 560 m. a.s.l.; strat. age between 24.7–24.4 Ma.

Sample ADG12 between R. bisecta LCO and S. delphix FO; 675 m a.s.l.; strat. age between 24.4–23.8.

Sample ADG16 between S. delphix FO and S. disbelemnos FO; 670 m a.s.l.; strat. age between 23.8–22.9 Ma.

Illicite Crystallinity (Data after Bonazzi et al. 1984):

Average over the whole section 4.7